F. No. NBA/ACCR –108/2001
Dated 11/02/2003

Dr. P. N. RAHZDAN
Member Secretary

To
The Principal
Yeswantrao Chavan College of Engg.,
Hingna Road, Wanadongri,
Nagpur-441 110

Sir,

Sub : NBA Accreditation to your institutional programmes

Subsequent to the NBA accreditation visit to your institution and the decision of the National Board of Accreditation in its meeting held on 6th February 2003, I am directed to convey the following accreditation grades:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.NO.</th>
<th>Name of Programme(s)</th>
<th>Accreditation Grade</th>
<th>Period of validity w.e.f. 06-02-2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BE Civil Engg.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BE Mechanical Engg.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BE Electronics Engg.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Total number of programmes accredited vide this letter – Three)

The Accreditation grades awarded to the degree programmes of your institution do not imply accreditation to the College/Institution as a whole. The full name of the Programme, Grade awarded and the period of validity of accreditation, as well as the date from which the award is effective, should be quoted unambiguously whenever it is used.

The grade(s) awarded to the above programmes of your College/Institution are on the presumption that the Institute would maintain the current standards in future. If there are any changes that would effectively alter the grade (such as, major changes in faculty availability or changes in the management structure, etc) alter the award of the accreditation grades, the same shall be communicated to the NBA, with an appropriate explanatory note.

Yours sincerely,

(P.N. Razdan)

C.C –
(i) The Vice Chancellor, Nagpur University
(ii) The Secretary Govt. of Maharashtra
(iii) The W.R.O Mumbai

(P.N. Razdan)
Dr. P. N. RAJDAN
Member Secretary

To
The Principal
Yeswantrao Chavan College of Engg.,
Hingna Road, Waradongri,
Nagpur 441 110

Sir,

Sub : NBA Accreditation to your institutional programmes

Subsequent to the NBA accreditation visit to your institution and the decision of the National Board of Accreditation in its meeting held on 6th February 2003, I am directed to convey the following accreditation grades.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of Programme(s)</th>
<th>Accreditation Grade</th>
<th>Period of validity w.e.f. 06-02-2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BE Electrical Engg.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BE Computer Technology</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Total number of programmes accredited vide this letter - Two)

The Accreditation grades awarded to the degree programmes of your institution do not imply accreditation to the College/Institution as a whole. The full name of the Programme, Grade awarded and the period of validity of accreditation, as well as the date from which the award is effective, should be quoted unambiguously whenever it is used.

The grades(s) awarded to the above programmes of your College/Institution are on the presumption that the Institute would maintain the current standards in future. If there are any changes that would effectively alter the grade (such as, major changes in faculty availability or changes in the management structure, etc) alter the award of the accreditation grades, the same shall be communicated to the NBA, with an appropriate explanatory note.

Yours sincerely,
(P.N. Razdan)

C.C - (i) The Vice Chancellor, Nagpur University
(ii) The Secretary Govt. of Maharashtra
(iii) The W.R.O Mumbai

(P.N. Razdan)

All India Council for Technical Education, I.G. Sports Complex, I.P. Estate, New Delhi - 110 002, India
Dr. (Mrs.) Tabassum Naqvi Haider  
Director – Quality Assurance  
NBA/ACCR-953/2007  
July 19, 2008

To

The Principal/Director,  
Nagar Yuwak Shikshan Sanstha’s  
Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engg.,  
Hingna Road, Wanadongri,  
Nagpur – 441 110 (M.S.)

Sub: Accreditation Status of Programme(s) offered by your Institution.

Dear Sir:

With reference to your application for accreditation of the following programme(s) and the Expert Committee visit to your institution, the report of the visit team was considered by the various Sectoral Committees and subsequently by the National Board of Accreditation in its meeting held on 19.07.2008. Based on the recommendations of the Board, I am pleased to communicate the Accreditation Status of the following programme(s) from your institution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of UG Programme(s)</th>
<th>Accreditation Status</th>
<th>Period of validity w.e.f. 19.07.2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>WITHDRAWN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Electronics &amp; Telecommunication Engg.</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Total number of programmes Accredited vide this letter – One and Withdrawn - One)

The Accreditation status awarded to the above programmes of your institution does not imply accreditation to the College / Institution as a whole. Complete name of the Programme(s) Accredited and its period of validity, as well as the date from which the award is effective, should be quoted unambiguously whenever it is used. The accreditation status of the above programmes is subject to periodic review by the NBA Secretariat and will be changed if major deficiencies are identified on surveillance. You are also requested to comply with the mandatory disclosure of pertinent information as per the proforma placed in the AICTE website with respect to accredited programmes of your institution. The same information should also appear in the website and information bulletin of your institution clearly indicating the date of publication of the same.

Contd....2
The status awarded to the above programmes of your college / Institution is on the presumption that the programmes would maintain the current standards in future. If there are any changes that would effectively alter the status (such as, major changes in faculty strength or changes in the organizational structure, etc.), the same shall be communicated to the undersigned, with an appropriate explanatory note. A comprehensive report submitted by the Chairman of the expert committee who visited your institution and the distribution of marks/points awarded for each programme against the accreditation parameters are enclosed for further necessary action at your end to overcome the shortcomings observed in each programme. If you are not satisfied with the decision of the Board, you may forward your appeal application with requisite fee within thirty days of receipt of this communication.

Let me also take this opportunity to congratulate all those who have contributed to the quality enhancement of programmes that secured accreditation by NBA.

With best wishes,

Yours Truly,

(Tabassum Naqvi)

C.C.:

1. The Vice-Chancellor, Rashtrasant Tukadoji Maharaj Nagpur University, Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Administrative Premises, Ravindranath Tagore Marg, Nagpur – 440 001

2. The Director, Directorate of Technical Education, Govt. of Maharashtra, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Mumbai – 400 001 (MS)

3. The Regional Officer, All India Council for Technical Education Western Regional Office, 2nd Floor, Industrial Assurance Building, V.N. Road, Opp. Churchgate Rly Station, Churchgate, Mumbai – 400 020 (MS)

4. Accreditation File

5. Guard File.

(Tabassum Naqvi)
To

The Principal/Director,
Nagar Yuwak Shikshan Sanstha's
Yashwantrao Chavan College of Engineering,
Hingna Road, Wanadongri,
Nagpur – 441 110 (MH)

Sub: Accreditation Status of Programme(s) offered by your Institution.

Dear Sir:

With reference to your application for accreditation of the following programme(s) and the Expert Committee visit to your institution, the report of the visiting team was considered by the Engineering Accreditation Committee and subsequently by the National Board of Accreditation in its meeting held on 13.03.2009. Based on the recommendations of the Board, I am pleased to communicate the Accreditation Status of the following programme(s) of your Institution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of UG Programme(s)</th>
<th>Accreditation Status</th>
<th>Period of validity w.e.f.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>B.E. Civil Engineering</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>B.E. Computer Science &amp; Engineering</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>B.E. Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>B.E. Electronics &amp; Communication Engg.</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>B.E. Mechanical Engineering</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(The Total number of programmes Accredited vide this letter – Five)

The Accreditation status awarded to the above programmes of your institution does not imply accreditation to the College / Institution as a whole. Complete name of the Programme(s) Accredited and its period of validity, as well as the date from which the award is effective, should be quoted unambiguously whenever it is used. The accreditation status of the above programmes is subject to periodic review by the NBA Secretariat and will be changed if major deficiencies are identified on surveillance. You are also requested to comply with the mandatory disclosure of pertinent information as per the proforma placed in the AICTE website with respect to accredited programmes of your institution. The same information should also appear in the website and information bulletin of your institution clearly indicating the date of publication of the same.

Contd....
The status awarded to the above programmes of your college / institution is on the presumption that the programmes would maintain the current standards in future. If there are any changes that would effectively alter the status (such as major changes in faculty strength or changes in the organizational structure, etc.), the same shall be communicated to the undersigned, with an appropriate explanatory note. A comprehensive report submitted by the Chairman of the expert committee who visited your institution and the distribution of marks/points awarded for each programme against the accreditation parameters are enclosed for further necessary action at your end to overcome the shortcomings observed in each programme. If you are not satisfied with the decision of the Board, you may forward your appeal application with requisite fee within thirty days of receipt of this communication.

Let me also take this opportunity to congratulate all those who have contributed to the quality enhancement of programmes that secured accreditation by NBA.

With best wishes,

Yours Truly,

(Dr. Dinesh)

C.C.:  
1. The Vice-Chancellor,  
   Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj Nagpur University,  
   Nagpur,  
   Maharashtra  

2. The Director,  
   Directorate of Technical Education,  
   Govt. of Maharashtra,  
   3, Mahapalika Marg,  
   Mumbai – 400 001 (MS)  

3. The Regional Officer,  
   All India Council for Technical Education  
   Western Regional Office,  
   2nd Floor, Industrial Assurance Building,  
   V.N. Road, Opp. Churchgate Rly Station,  
   Churchgate, Mumbai – 400 020 (MS)  

4. Accreditation File  
5. Guard File.

(Dr. Dinesh)
To

The Principal/Director,
Nagar Yuwak Shikshan Sanstha's
Yashwantrao Chavan College of Engineering,
Hingna Road, Wanadongri,
Nagpur – 441 110 (MH)

Sub: Accreditation Status of Programme(s) offered by your Institution.

Dear Sir:

With reference to your application for accreditation of the following programme(s) and the Expert Committee visit to your institution, the report of the visiting team was considered by the Engineering Accreditation Committee and subsequently by the National Board of Accreditation in its meeting held on 10.02.2009. Based on the recommendations of the Board, I am pleased to communicate the Accreditation Status of the following programme(s) of your Institution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of UG Programme(s)</th>
<th>Accreditation Status</th>
<th>Period of validity w.e.f. 10.02.2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>B.E. Civil Engineering</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>B.E. Computer Technology</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>B.E. Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>B.E. Electronics Engineering</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>B.E. Mechanical Engineering</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Total number of programmes Accredited vide this letter – Five)

The Accreditation status awarded to the above programmes of your institution does not imply accreditation to the College / Institution as a whole. Complete name of the Programme(s) Accredited and its period of validity, as well as the date from which the award is effective, should be quoted unambiguously whenever it is used. The accreditation status of the above programmes is subject to periodic review by the NBA Secretariat and will be changed if major deficiencies are identified on surveillance. You are also requested to comply with the mandatory disclosure of pertinent information as per the proforma placed in the AICTE website with respect to accredited programmes of your institution. The same information should also appear in the website and information bulletin of your institution clearly indicating the date of publication of the same.

Contd....
The status awarded to the above programmes of your college / institution is on the presumption that the programmes would maintain the current standards in future. If there are any changes that would effectively alter the status (such as, major changes in faculty strength or changes in the organizational structure, etc.), the same shall be communicated to the undersigned, with an appropriate explanatory note. A comprehensive report submitted by the Chairman of the expert committee who visited your institution and the distribution of marks/points awarded for each programme against the accreditation parameters are enclosed for further necessary action at your end to overcome the shortcomings observed in each programme. If you are not satisfied with the decision of the Board, you may forward your appeal application with requisite fee within thirty days of receipt of this communication.

Let me also take this opportunity to congratulate all those who have contributed to the quality enhancement of programmes that secured accreditation by NBA.

With best wishes,

Yours Truly,

(Dr. Dinesh)

C.C.:

1. The Vice-Chancellor,
   Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj Nagpur University,
   Nagpur,
   Maharashtra

2. The Director,
   Directorate of Technical Education,
   Govt. of Maharashtra,
   3, Mahapalika Marg,
   Mumbai – 400 001 (MS)

3. The Regional Officer,
   All India Council for Technical Education
   Western Regional Office,
   2nd Floor, Industrial Assurance Building,
   V.N. Road, Opp. Churchgate Rly Station,
   Churchgate, Mumbai – 400 020 (MS)

4. Accreditation File

5. Guard File.

(Dr. Dinesh)
CORRIGENDUM LETTER

To
The Principal/Director,
Nagar Yuwak Shikshan Sanstha's
Yashwantrao Chavan College of Engineering,
Hingna Road, Wanadongri,
Nagpur – 441110 (Maharashtra)

Sub: Accreditation Status of Programme(s) offered by your Institution – CORRIGENDUM.


Dear Sir:

This is with reference to our letter referred above regarding the Accreditation Status of the following programme(s):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of UG Programme(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>B.E. Civil Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>B.E. Computer Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>B.E. Electrical Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>B.E. Electronics Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>B.E. Mechanical Engineering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The period of validity may be read as w.e.f. 13.03.2009 in place of w.e.f. 10.02.2009 as quoted in the letter referred above.

Yours Truly,

(Subject's Signature)

Dr. (Mrs.) Tabassum Naqvi Haider
Director – Quality Assurance

September 01, 2009
To

The Principal/Director,
Nagar Yuwak Shikshan Sanstha's
Yashwantrao Chavan College of Engg.,
Hingna Road, Wanadongri,
Nagpur – 441 110
Maharashtra

Sub: Accreditation Status of Programme(s) offered by your Institution.

Dear Sir / Madam:

With reference to your application for accreditation of the following programme(s) and the Expert Committee visit to your institution, the report of the visiting team was considered by the various Accreditation Committees. Based on the recommendations of the Accreditation Committees, I am pleased to communicate the Accreditation Status of the following programme(s) offered by your institution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of PG Programmes</th>
<th>Accreditation Status</th>
<th>Period of validity w.e.f. 16.04.2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Electronics Engg.</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Civil Engg. (Structural Engg.)</td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Total number of programmes Accredited vide this letter – Two)

The Accreditation status awarded to the above programmes of your institution does not imply accreditation to the College/Institution as a whole. Complete name of the Programme Accredited and its period of validity, as well as the date from which the award is effective, should be quoted unambiguously whenever and wherever it is used. The accreditation status of the above programmes is subject to periodic review by the NBA Secretariat and will be changed if major deficiencies are identified on complaints that may be received/ surprise visits/ surveillance. You are also requested to comply with the mandatory disclosure of pertinent information as per the proforma available in the AICTE website with respect to accredited programmes of your institution. The same information should also appear in the website and information bulletin of your institution clearly indicating the date of publication of the same.

Contd.…… 2
The status awarded to the above programmes of your college / institution is on the presumption that the programmes would maintain the current standards in future. If there are any changes that would effectively alter the status (such as, major changes in faculty strength or changes in the organizational structure, etc.), the same shall be communicated to the undersigned, with an appropriate explanatory note. The strength and weakness as indicated by the expert team is enclosed herewith for your guidance.

An appeal of the results conveyed, if you so desire may be made within 30 days of receipt of this communications with requisite fee. Should you have any clarification, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

With best regards,

Yours Truly,

(Dr. Dinesh)

C.C.:

1. The Vice-Chancellor,
   Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj Nagpur University,
   Nagpur,
   Maharashtra

2. The Director,
   Directorate of Technical Education,
   Govt. of Maharashtra,
   3, Mahapalika Marg,
   Mumbai – 400 001 (MS)

3. The Regional Officer,
   All India Council for Technical Education
   Western Regional Office,
   2nd Floor, Industrial Assurance Building,
   V.N. Road, Opp. Churchgate Rly Station,
   Churchgate, Mumbai – 400 020 (MS)

4. Accreditation File

5. Guard File.

(Dr. Dinesh)
To, 
Dr Dinesh 
The Advisor, MBA-AICTE, 
NBCC 4th Floor, Lodhi Road New Delhi.

Dear Sir,

The team under my Chairmanship visited the Yashwantrao Chavan College of Engineering, Nagpur on 27, 28 Feb 09, 1st March 09. The team had two experts in Civil Engineering and two experts in electronics one came from Pondicherry Engineering College and another from I-I-Sc Bangalore for electronics and DOE Delhi and Retired Professor IIT/IIM for Civil Engineering came to visit the college.

On 27th the presentation was made by principal and advisor in the presence of HOD’s and before full team then followed by visit to common facilities. After lunch experts visited departments and chairman took note on recruitment and salary structures of teaching and non teaching staff. On 28th Feb again in morning hours, laboratory, projects and achievements such as projects funded by AICTE and DST were seriously visited to see the progress in both the departments. In evening hours meeting of teaching, non teaching, students, parents, employer convened. On third day after discussion on merits and demerits by experts before HOD, Chairman (management) and Principal were presented and left for respective own home towns.

The Merits:-
1. 25 year old college has been fully acknowledged by Nagpur University to confer autonomous status.
2. Admission in PG is full on intake in all the departments.
3. Infrastructure and ambiance were impressive.
4. Enough funds available for recurring and non recurring expenditure.
5. University has policy on recruitment for colleges and approval. Therefore recruitment as per AICTE norms has done by University itself.
File No. 28-194/2010-NBA

To

The Principal,
Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engg.,
Hingna Road, Wanadongri,
Nagpur – 441 110, (Maharashtra)


Sir,

This has reference to the appeal made by Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engg. Nagpur, against the decision of the erstwhile NBA regarding the accreditation status in respect of the programme(s) mentioned in the table at paragraph 2 below:

2. An Appellate Committee constituted for the consideration of appeal application filed by your institute, considered the matter on 20-10-2010 and 21-10-2010. The recommendations of the Appellate Committee were placed in the meeting of General Council (GC) of the National Board of Accreditation held on January 18, 2011. The decision of the General Council (GC) in respect of application is as under:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of the programme</th>
<th>UG/PG</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Computer Technology</td>
<td>UG</td>
<td>Upheld the accreditation status, i.e., Accredited for 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>UG</td>
<td>Upheld the accreditation status i.e., Accredited for 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Electronics Engineering</td>
<td>UG</td>
<td>Upheld the accreditation status i.e., Accredited for 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Civil Engineering</td>
<td>UG</td>
<td>Upheld the accreditation status i.e., Accredited for 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Mechanical Engineering</td>
<td>UG</td>
<td>Accredited for 5 years instead of 3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Rest of the conditions remains the same as per the earlier letter issued by the erstwhile NBA vide letter No. NBA/ACCR-953(W)/2007 dtd April 17, 2009 regarding the accreditation status of the above programme(s).

Yours faithfully,

(Dr. D.K. Paliwal)
Member Secretary

1. The Director,
   Directorate of Technical Education,
   Govt. of Maharashtra,
   3, Mahapalika Marg,
   Mumbai-400 001 (MS)

2. The Vice Chancellor
   Rashtrasant Tukadoji Maharaj Nagpur University
   Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Administrative Premises
   Ravindra Nath Tagore Marg, Nagpur 440001

3. The Regional Officer, AICTE,
   Western Regional Office,
   Industrial Assurance Building,
   2nd Floor, Nariman Road,
   Mumbai-400 020 (Maharashtra)

4. Accreditation file of the State